

1
2
3
4
5 URIEL MARCUS and BENEDICT
6 VERCELES, on behalf of others
7 similarly situated,

8
9
10 No. C 14-03824 WHA

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 APPLE INC,

14 Defendant.

15 /
16 **ORDER DENYING PRO HAC
17 VICE APPLICATION OF
18 ATTORNEY OMAR ROSALES**

19
20 The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Omar Rosales (Dkt. No. 36) is **DENIED** for
21 failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify
22 that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the
23 highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis
24 added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it only
25 identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the
26 local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas).
27 While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed
28 until a corrected form is submitted.

29
30 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

31
32 Dated: November 25, 2014.

33
34 
35 WILLIAM ALSUP
36 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE